TENT COOPERATION

18 FEB 2005 From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY. MAY 2 8 2004 WILLIAM P. CHRISTIE Christie, Parker & Hale, LLP. CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP POST OFFICE BOX 7068 PASADENA, CA 91109-7068 WRITTEN OPINION (PCT Rule 66) Date of Mailing 25 MAY 2004 DEADLINE.... (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference REPLY DUE within 2 months/days from 50576P/A599 the above date of mailing International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 19 August 2003 (19.08.2003) 19 August 2002 (19.08.2002) International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC(7): A61N 1/18 and US Cl.: 607/3 Applicant ARIZONA BOARD OF REGENTS 1. This written opinion is the first (first, etc.) drawn by this International Preliminary Examining Authority. 2. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Basis of the opinion П Priority . Ш Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2 (a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement VI Certain documents cited Certain defects in the international application VII VIII Certain observations on the international application The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion. When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority to grant an extension. See rule 66.2(d).

How?

By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3.

For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9.

Also

For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4.

- For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis.

For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6

If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.

The final date by which the international preliminary

examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 19 December 2004 (19.12.2004)

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US

Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Facsimile No. (703)305-3230

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet)(July 1998)

Jeffrey R. Jastrzab

Telephone No. (703) 308-0858



ĺ	Internation No.
	PCT/US03/26002

I.	Bas	is of the opinion				
1.	Witl	regard to the elements of the international application:*				
	\boxtimes	the international application as originally filed				
	\boxtimes	the description:				
		pages 1-15, as originally filed				
		pages NONE , filed with the demand				
		pages NONE , filed with the letter of				
	\boxtimes	the claims:				
		pages 16-19 , as originally filed				
		pages NONE, as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19 pages NONE, filed with the demand				
		pages NONE , filed with the letter of .				
		the drawings:				
•		pages 1-12, as originally filed				
		pages NONE , filed with the demand				
		pages NONE , filed with the letter of				
		the sequence listing part of the description:				
	_	pages NONE , as originally filed				
		pages NONE , filed with the demand				
		pages NONE , filed with the letter of				
	lang	regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the large in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. e elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:				
		the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule23.1(b)).				
		the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).				
		the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination(under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).				
		regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the written on was drawn on the basis of the sequence listing:				
	contained in the international application in printed form.					
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.				
furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.						
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.				
		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.				
4.	\boxtimes	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:				
	٠	the description, pages NONE				
		the claims, Nos. NONE				
		the drawings; sheets/fig NONE				
5.						
;		This opinion has been drawn as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).				
* R	eplac	ement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in on as "originally filed."				
.,,,,	opuu	on the originality field.				



International appli

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No. PCT/US03/26002

citations and explanations supporting statement STATEMENT Novelty (N) Inventive Step (IS) Industrial Applicability (IA) CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS saims 1, 2, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 17, 18, 20 and 22	Claims Claims Claims Claims	3-8, 10, 13, 16, 19, 21 and 23 1, 2, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 17, 18, 20 and 22 3-8, 10, 13, 16, 19, 21 and 23 1, 2, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 17, 18, 20, 22 1-13	NONO
Inventive Step (IS) Industrial Applicability (IA) CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS Circal 2.9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 17, 18, 20 and 22	Claims Claims Claims	1, 2, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 17, 18, 20 and 22 3-8, 10, 13, 16, 19, 21 and 23 1, 2, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 17, 18, 20, 22	YES
Inventive Step (IS) Industrial Applicability (IA) CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS	Claims Claims Claims	1, 2, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 17, 18, 20 and 22 3-8, 10, 13, 16, 19, 21 and 23 1, 2, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 17, 18, 20, 22	YES
Industrial Applicability (IA) CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS	Claims Claims	3-8, 10, 13, 16, 19, 21 and 23 1, 2, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 17, 18, 20, 22	ио
Industrial Applicability (IA) CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS	Claims Claims	1, 2, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 17, 18, 20, 22	YES YES
Industrial Applicability (IA) CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS	Claims Claims	1, 2, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 17, 18, 20, 22	
CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS	Claims	1-13	VES
CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS		1-13	VE
CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS	Claims		
CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS		NONE	NO
		PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does number of the subject us have industrial applicability because the subject	
NEW CITATIONS			
•			
		•	
•		· .	

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No. PCT/US03/26002

Complemental Provi			
Supplemental Box (To be used when the space in any of the preceding	g boxes is not sufficient)		
TIME LIMIT: The time limit set for response to a Written Opinic expiration of the time limit set in the Written Opin Report.	FR 1.484(d). Any response received after the oreparing the International Preliminary Exami	e received after the Preliminary Examination	
			•
÷			
·			
·			
	•		
*			
<u>.</u>			